Hilary Butler.

Vaccines: Safe, Effective and saved the world! This is what doctors say when parent ask about vaccines. The vaccine inserts thrown into the rubbish tins, should be given to the parents. What don't doctors want parents to see?

Most people called "anti-vaxxers", once trusted their doctors, following blindly. The thousands of names on the outside and inside of the VAXXED bus, represent vaccine injury and death from every possible vaccine, after an uninformed, PRO-vaccine parent, signed a consent form.

Provaxxers say that "mere parents" can't decide what is good for them. Anyone who has studied the medical references in my two books, "Just a Little Prick" and "From One Prick to Another" will realise how much peer reviewed medical information has been withheld from them.

In 1984, the US Federal register stated that, "any possible doubts about vaccination, cannot be allowed to exist." After American parents demanded more detailed polio pamphlets, a 1996 Pediatrics article said that the key is to determine the information which make parents vaccinate, and to leave out "non-essential concepts" which might lead to "higher-level knowledge".

Why? Because they want parents to just DO IT. Not think about it first.

The Provaxxers say that antibiotics and vaccines resulted in the death and disease decline. Lancet 2002 PMID 11901641 has a graph which completely explodes that myth. Many infectious disease textbooks have similar graphs which silence such narrative. The NZ 1946 year book, page 68, lists dramatic declines 1872-1944 in all diseases categories, which owe nothing to the medical system.

If people consulted yearly Hospital deaths and cases, NZ Official Year books and the Health reports from the Appendices to Parliamentary journals from the late 1800s, they might realise that they have been lied to.

The irony is that the Department of Health facts are all there to see, if you know where to look.

Provaxxers cite smallpox and Polio, to validate subsequent vaccines, not realising that studious investigative research might leave them astonished at the twists and turns which radically contradict their preferred "world-saving dogma". Throwing unstudied assumptions at parents is rhetoric unworthy of scientific research.

Dr Lance O'Sullivan talks about the seriously sick suffering children he holds in his arms, saying that compulsory vaccination will stop that.

But real health doesn't come from a needle.   The following ideals are well established in peer reviewed medical journals:

1) No junk food, sugar, refined carbs, soft drinks, smoking, drinking, or drugs.
2) Natural birth – elective caesarians cause long term problems for children and parents.
3) One parent two-year family allowance to stay home after every birth.
4) Two years breastfeeding minimum. Medical taxpayer cost of disease from infant formula is huge.

If THESE four things had been compulsory for the last 20 years, doctors' patient numbers would be massively less than they are now, and hospitals would have money to burn.

In 2009, I asked a Health Select Committee to government fund a study linking every person's Health Index Number, National Immunisation Register, and Hospital admission data comparing **who** was vaccinated, subsequent hospital doctor's visits, with the "partially" and "never vaccinated". They refused, citing "patient confidentiality".

In 2007 America's CDC contracted $1 million dollars for a programme[1], which unexpectedly captured large numbers of previously unreported potential vaccine injury. CDC dropped the project refusing to communicate with the contractor.

---

[1] https://healthit.ahrq.gov/sites/default/files/docs/publication/r18hs017045-lazarus-final-report-2011.pdf

New Zealand can do a fully vaccinated vs never vaccinated study. Instead every effort is made **not to**. I believe that also comparing vaccinated vs unvaccinated tax-payer costs, would result in another question; "How did we get it this wrong?"

Supporting data here: http://beyondconformity.co.nz/hilarys-desk/vaccines-safe-effective-and-saved-the-world